

Horizon Europe Transitional Measures: Call document for SNSF Consolidator Grants 2022

Switzerland is currently considered a non-associated third country for participation in Horizon Europe and other related programmes. For monobeneficiary schemes such as most ERC grants, researchers at Swiss research institutions are not eligible to apply. To remedy this situation, the SNSF has been mandated by the State Secretariat for Education, Research and Innovation (SERI) to offer an alternative, comparable call for proposals as part of its broader transitional measures in 2021-2022. The aim is to maintain Switzerland's strong position as a centre of research. International applicants who intended to apply for an ERC Consolidator Grant to work in Switzerland and applicants based in Switzerland can submit their proposals to the SNSF. This document describes the rules and procedures for submitting a proposal to the transitional **SNSF Consolidator Grants Call 2022**. Key features of the funding instrument are summarised in **Box 1**.

Box 1. Key features of the SNSF Consolidator Grants Call 2022.

- The scheme is **open to all** fields of **research**.
- **Applicants have shown research independence** and have produced **several important contributions to science**. They want to conduct ground-breaking and ambitious research in Switzerland.
- Swiss host institutions must ensure conditions that allow applicants to direct the research and manage their funding
- The **budget can be up to CHF 1.75 million** for a period of **up to five years**. Additional funding of up to **CHF 870'000** may be requested for a) costs in connection with the move to Switzerland; b) the acquisition or use of scientific infrastructure/major equipment; c) other major experimental and field work costs, excluding personnel costs.
- **Scientific excellence is the sole criterion of evaluation**. The proposal is submitted in one step and must include an extended synopsis, the CV, the track record, the research plan, the resources requested and the host institution confirmation letter.
- The **evaluation by international panels will be in two steps**. In a first step, panel members will evaluate the extended synopsis, the CV and track record and rate applications on this basis. Top-rated applicants will be evaluated further in a second step, which includes evaluation of the full proposal, international peer review and interviews.
- The call will open on **1 March 2022** and close on **1 June 2022**. Applicants must **pre-register by 2 Mai 2022** and provide the following information via mySNF (www.mysnf.ch): Summary of application, host institution, disciplines and keywords.
- The outcome of the first step will be communicated to applicants in October **2022**. The applicants proceeding to step 2 will be informed of the final decisions in **January 2023**. The projects can start, at the earliest, **in February 2023**.
- The funding of the projects is expressly **subject** to the financing decisions of the responsible federal bodies (Federal Council and Parliament).

Contents

1	Eligibility criteria	5
1.1	Applicant	5
1.1.1	Early achievement track record	5
1.1.2	Multiple applications and duplicate funding	5
1.2	Host institutions	5
2	Formal requirements, ethical issues and research integrity	6
2.1	Legal basis	6
2.2	User account in mySNF	6
2.3	Ethics and integrity	6
2.4	Open Access	6
2.5	Open Research Data	7
3	Budget	7
3.1	Requested funding	7
4	Pre-registration	8
5	Creating the documents for upload	9
5.1	Extended synopsis	9
5.2	Research plan	9
5.3	Resources	10
5.4	CV of the applicant	10
5.5	Track record	10
5.6	Commitment of the host institution	11
6	Uploading the application	11
6.1	Receipt and verification at the SNSF	11
6.1.1	Verification of formal requirements and eligibility	11
6.1.2	Scientific integrity	11
6.1.3	Contacts between applicants and the SNSF	12
7	Evaluation and communication	12
7.1	Evaluation panels and external reviewers	12
7.2	Evaluation procedure	12
7.2.1	Step 1	13
7.2.2	Step 2	13
7.3	Evaluation criteria	13
7.3.1	Scientific quality of the research project	13
7.3.2	Qualification of the applicant	14
7.4	Outcome and communication of decisions	14

8	Annex	14
8.1	Eligible and non-eligible costs	14
8.1.1	Direct eligible costs	14
8.1.2	Non-eligible costs	15
8.2	Summary of deadlines	15

1 Eligibility criteria

1.1 Applicant

1.1.1 *Early achievement track record*

The SNSF Consolidator Grants Call 2022 is open to researchers of any nationality who intend to conduct their research in Switzerland. Applicants with a PhD or MD-PhD awarded >7 and ≤12 years at the time of submission are eligible. They have shown research independence and contributions to science without the participation of their PhD supervisor. These benchmarks should be complemented by at least one of the following indicators:

- Several important peer-reviewed publications in a responsible role that had an impact in the research field(s).
- Major research monographs (for research fields where monographs is the norm).
- A substantial record of invited presentation in well-established international conferences, organization of international conferences, granted patents, outreach activities¹, general contributions to science², other artefacts with documented use³.

Any requests for an extension of the eligibility window (beyond 12 years; due to maternity/paternity leave, clinical training or other career break) should be explained and adequately documented in the CV.

1.1.2 *Multiple applications and duplicate funding*

- The applicant may submit an application under the call identifier ERC-2022-CoG. However, the applicant cannot participate as principal investigator in any ERC frontier project or SNSF Advanced Grant *and* an SNSF Consolidator Grant in parallel. Furthermore:
 - Funding for research projects already supported by the SNSF or third parties is excluded.
 - Researchers who receive an SNSF Consolidator Grant must inform the SNSF about any existing ERC Grants and parallel applications in ERC schemes.
 - Applicants will have to provide information on available and requested funding for their research projects (for details, see section 3.1).
- A researcher participating as principal investigator in an ongoing ERC frontier research grant may not submit a proposal to the present SNSF Consolidator Grant Call 2022 unless the existing project ends before the of **January 2024**. An SNSF Consolidator Grant can only start once the previous ERC frontier grant agreement has ended.

1.2 Host institutions

The host institution must be established in Switzerland as a legal entity (public or private). Higher education institutions or non-commercial research institutions outside the higher education sector who are domiciled in Switzerland and whose basic financing is predominantly Swiss according to Swiss law⁴ are eligible. Applicants do not need to be employed by the host institution when they submit the proposal;

¹ e.g. public engagement in science, technology and knowledge transfer activities, scientific art performances

² e.g. spokesperson for international experiments, leader of international expeditions, founder of international networks and training programmes

³ e.g. maps, methods, prototype demos, software, databases, design, contributions to big data collaborations

⁴ Pursuant to [Article 5 RIPA](#) includes only non-commercial research institutions outside the university sector

however, the host institution must employ the applicant for at least the duration of the project. The percentage of employment at a Swiss research institution must be at least 50%. Should Switzerland obtain the status of an associated country and participate in Horizon Europe after the deadline of this call, this constraint would be changed to 50% of his/her total working time in an EU Member State or associated country. Finally, the host institution will be the only participating legal entity. Well justified exceptions to this may be considered.

Applicants are expected to devote at least 40% of their total working time to the project.

Before applying, please discuss the conditions and procedure for conducting the project with the host institution. The latter must provide a commitment letter (please use the template provided in **mySNF**, see www.mysnf.ch).

2 Formal requirements, ethical issues and research integrity

2.1 Legal basis

The general provisions of the SNSF (Funding Regulations⁵ and the General implementation regulations for the Funding Regulations⁶) apply to the SNSF Consolidator Grants Call 2022 and the corresponding funding procedures. This call document is issued by the Presiding Board of the National Research Council under a mandate from the State Secretariat for Education, Research and Innovation (SERI) to set up a transitional measure for the ERC Consolidator Grants Call 2022 (ERC-2022-CoG).

2.2 User account in mySNF

Applicants without a mySNF user account must open such an account. New user accounts must be registered **no later than two working days before the pre-registration deadline** (see Section 4 below). The mySNF account will remain in place for future submissions or the lifetime management of approved projects.

2.3 Ethics and integrity

Research activities and methods that have ethical implications or may raise questions requiring sound ethical assessment must be declared in mySNF. Hence, please take note of the Swiss laws and ethical standards⁷. Furthermore, the rules of scientific integrity must be respected⁸.

2.4 Open Access

Under the SNSF Consolidator Grants Call 2022, beneficiaries must ensure open access to all peer-reviewed scientific publications of their results as set out in the SNSF Funding Regulations⁵ and the Implementation Regulations⁶.

⁵ https://media.snf.ch/UBa5QinDpnNjssV/snsf-funding_relations_2015_e.pdf

⁶ <https://media.snf.ch/u3qMhSLP21vefBd/snsf-general-implementation-regulations-for-the-funding-regulations-e.pdf>

⁷ <https://www.fedlex.admin.ch/eli/cc/2013/786/de>

⁸ http://www.snf.ch/SiteCollectionDocuments/ueb_org_fehlverh_gesuchstellende_e.pdf

2.5 Open Research Data

Under the SNSF Consolidator Grants Call 2022, the applicants have to include a data management plan (DMP) in their funding application. The SNSF also expects that data generated or collected during the project are publicly accessible in data repositories complying with the FAIR data principles, provided there are no legal, ethical, copyright or other issues, as set out in the SNSF Funding Regulations⁹ and in the SNSF ORD Policy¹⁰.

3 Budget

SNSF Consolidator Grants are awarded up to a maximum of CHF 1.75 million for a period of up to 5 years (Overhead is paid directly to the host institution). Applicants may request additional funding of up to CHF 870'000 for a) eligible installation costs in connection with the move to Switzerland due to the SNSF Consolidator Grant (see Annex, section 8.1), b) for the acquisition or use of scientific infrastructure/major equipment, c) other major experimental and fieldwork costs, excluding personnel costs. The maximum award is reduced *pro rata temporis* for projects of a shorter duration. Please use whole CHF integers only when indicating requested costs. All costs must be indicated in annual allotments.

3.1 Requested funding

Requested funding must be linked to the aims of the project for its entire duration. Resources should be requested in line with the requirements for the project and fully justified. The project cost estimation should be as accurate as possible. The evaluation panels assess the estimated costs carefully and are entitled to reduce unjustified budgets.

The requested funding must be entered in the corresponding data containers on mySNF:

1. **Salary of applicant:** Applicants should indicate if they request a salary and social security and, if so, should specify the amount.
2. **Requested positions:** Applicants should indicate the salaries and social security contributions for scientific and technical staff. When requesting positions for doctoral students, postdoctoral or technical posts, they should refer to the host institution's salary rates and social contributions.¹¹
 - The size and composition of the research team must be indicated, mentioning the key team members and their roles. If team members employed by another host institution participate in the project, this should be justified concerning the additional financial costs for the project.

⁹ Article 47 SNSF funding regulation

¹⁰ <https://www.snf.ch/en/dMILj9t4LNk8NwyR/topic/open-research-data>

¹¹ https://www.snf.ch/SiteCollectionDocuments/allg_doktorierende_e.pdf

3. **Requested funding for the project:** Costs have to be subdivided into equipment (including, if applicable, costs for installation/purchase of major equipment/access to infrastructure) and research funds (consumables, field expenses, travel costs, conference costs, other direct costs and any envisaged sub-contracting costs). For the list of eligible costs, see Annex, section 8.1.
- All available resources for the realization of the project and the required infrastructure and equipment have to be declared. Please include a short technical description of the equipment needed, together with a justification of its necessity.
 - A sub-contractor is a third party who carries out part of the project based on an agreement on business conditions. Costs generated by the third parties mandated by the research project (outsourcing through subcontracting) may be charged to the grant in exceptional cases under Clause 2.11 of the Implementation regulations for the Funding Regulations and must be fully justified in the “resources” section of the research plan. Sub-contractors and costs for installation/purchase of major equipment/access to infrastructure must be clearly specified in the data container “Requested funding”. **To do so, please use the drop-down list and select the corresponding category.**

Please note: Applicants are requested to indicate which available and additional funding they have requested at the SNSF or other funding institutions.

4 Pre-registration

Applicants have to pre-register by 2 May 2022, 17:00 Swiss local time, by providing the following information via mySNF: a one-page summary of their proposals, host institution, disciplines and keywords of the planned project. The sections to be completed in mySNF are given in Box 2. As the final step of the pre-registration, applicants have to send an e-mail to cog@snf.ch. The SNSF uses the information exclusively to support the evaluation process, for example to determine the composition of panels. The information will not be used in the evaluation of proposals.

Box 2. Mandatory pre-registration until 2 May 2022:

Please complete the following three sections in mySNF:

- 1) Designated host lab/institution
- 2) Basic data I (incl. project title, disciplines)
- 3) Basic data II (incl. summary, keywords)

Important: you will have to send an e-mail to cog@snf.ch confirming the completion of your pre-registration. **The information (e.g. summary, keywords)** can still be modified after the pre-registration deadline, but the final submission must be consistent with the information provided with the pre-registration

5 Creating the documents for upload

All documents must be in English. The font must be Times New Roman, Arial or similar with a size of at least 11, single line spacing and margins of 2 cm on the side and 1.5 cm at the bottom. The documents must be submitted in PDF format. Please note that the first phase of the project's scientific evaluation only considers the extended synopsis, the CV, and the track record.

5.1 Extended synopsis

The extended synopsis will be considered for the first step of the evaluation process. The document must not exceed 5 pages (excluding references) and must be uploaded into the mySNF data container "Extended Synopsis". Please note that the evaluators will not have access to the full research plan during this first step.

The extended synopsis should give a concise presentation of the scientific proposal, with particular attention to the ground-breaking nature of the research project, which will allow evaluation panels to assess the feasibility of the outlined scientific approach. It should describe the proposed work in the context of the state-of-the-art in the field. References to the relevant literature should be included. Please use a reference style that is commonly used in your discipline such as American Chemical Society (ACS) style, American Medical Association (AMA) style, Modern Language Association (MLA) style, etc., and that allows the evaluators to easily retrieve references.

5.2 Research plan

The research plan will only be considered during the second phase of the evaluation process; however, it has to be submitted by **1 June 2022**. The document must not exceed 14 pages (excluding references) and be uploaded into the mySNF data container "Research Plan". The research plan must be divided into two sections:

Section a: State-of-the-art and objectives. Specify the proposal's objectives in the context of the state-of-the-art in the research field. It should be clear how and why the proposed work is important and what impact it will have if successful, such as how it may open up new directions or opportunities for science, technology or scholarship. Highlight any particularly challenging or unconventional aspects of the proposal, including multi- or inter-disciplinary aspects.

Section b: Methodology. Describe the proposed methodology in detail, including any key intermediate goals. Explain and justify the methods in relation to the state-of-the-art, and particularly novel or unconventional aspects addressing the 'high-risk/high-gain' balance. Highlight any intermediate stages where results may require adjustments to the project planning.

5.3 Resources

The requested resources will only be considered during the second step of the evaluation process. The document must not exceed three pages and must be uploaded into the mySNF data container “Resources” (see list below). Further details on eligible and non-eligible costs are provided in the Annex (Section 8.1) below.

1. State the amount of funding considered necessary to meet the research objectives. The estimation of project costs should be as accurate as possible. The requested budget should be fully justified and in line with actual needs. Describe all the cost categories considered necessary for the project.
2. Specify your commitment regarding the percentage of working time you are willing to devote to the proposed project (the minimum requirement is 40% of the applicant’s work time) and, if applicable, the percentage of salary claimed under the grant. Please note, the personnel cost for the applicant cannot be higher than the percentage dedicated to the project.
3. Describe the size and nature of the team, indicating, where appropriate, the key team members and their roles. If team members are employed by another host institution, their participation has to be justified with respect to the scientific added value they bring to the project.
4. Include a short technical description of any requested equipment, why it is needed and the planned usage for the project.
5. Describe any additional funding requested in connection with the move to Switzerland due to the SNSF Consolidator Grant, infrastructure/ equipment or other experimental and fieldwork costs (max CHF 870'000). It needs to be indicated in the budget data container in mySNF (requested funding for the project) and well justified for the successful implementation of the project.
6. Describe any existing resources not requiring SNSF funding that will be used for the project, such as infrastructure and equipment.

5.4 CV of the applicant

The CV will be considered during the first and second steps of the evaluation process. The document must not exceed two pages and must be uploaded in the mySNF data container “CV and Track Record”.

The CV must include the standard academic and research record. Please use the template provided in mySNF. Any gaps in the scientific career or unusual academic paths that might have influenced the track record should be described to be appropriately taken into account by the evaluation panels.

5.5 Track record

The track record will already be considered during the first phase of the evaluation process. The document must not exceed two pages and must be uploaded in the mySNF data container “CV and Track Record”.

The track record should list important achievements and emphasize the applicant’s independence and contributions to science. Contributions can cite several important peer-reviewed publications that impacted the applicant’s research field, highlighting those without the co-authorship of their PhD supervi-

sor. The publications should be adequately referenced, including all authors in the published order. Article-based bibliometric indicators of publications may be included in accordance with the DORA declaration¹². Journal-based metrics, such as Journal Impact Factors are not allowed. Contributions may also cite monographs, book chapters, art works and other outputs. The following should be added, if applicable: granted patent(s); organization of international conferences, outreach activities, general contributions to science, other artefacts with documented use (see also 1.1.1).

5.6 Commitment of the host institution

Applicants must provide a written and binding commitment letter from the host institution confirming their willingness to host the proposed research. Applications that do not include such institutional statements at the submission deadline will not be evaluated. Please use the template provided by the ERC or the SNSF. The SNSF template can be found on the mySNF platform. It must be uploaded into the mySNF data container “Confirmation host institute”.

6 Uploading the application

To create a new application, please select the option “Create new application” on the mySNF homepage by navigating to the relevant funding scheme under **Programmes > Horizon Europe Transitional Measures > SNSF Consolidator Grants 2022**. The data on the applicant and research proposal are entered into the mySNF entry mask.

6.1 Receipt and verification at the SNSF

6.1.1 Verification of formal requirements and eligibility

Pre-registration: After receiving the applicant’s e-mail that pre-registration is complete, the Administrative Offices of the SNSF will send a confirmation.

Online application: The Administrative Offices of the SNSF check whether the submitted applications meet the formal requirements and whether the applicant and the host institution are eligible. Proposals by eligible applicants are then forwarded to the corresponding evaluation panel.

6.1.2 Scientific integrity

The Administrative Offices of the SNSF may check whether the application respects the scientific integrity rules (see ‘Regulations of the National Research Council on the treatment of scientific misconduct by applicants and grantees’¹³).

¹² <https://www.snf.ch/de/neSdcJ948w1y33Nj/thema/dora-deklaration>

¹³ http://www.snf.ch/SiteCollectionDocuments/ueb_org_fehlverh_gesuchstellende_e.pdf

6.1.3 Contacts between applicants and the SNSF

Queries can be addressed to the Administrative Offices of the SNSF by phone (+41 31 308 22 22 or e-mail to cog@snf.ch). When checking submitted applications, the office may contact applicants to clarify issues related to the application. Before, during and after the evaluation, the applicants are obliged to:

- provide any information requested by the SNSF
- cooperate in clarifying issues

The SNSF cannot give applicants any information on the evaluation of their proposals while the evaluation is in progress and until the decision is communicated in writing.

7 Evaluation and communication

A scientific steering committee, set up by the Presiding Board of the National Research Council, oversees the evaluation and funding activities related to the SNSF Consolidator Grants call. It also ensures the application of SNSF's best practices and compliance regarding conflicts of interest¹⁴. It is composed of the president of the National Research Council and one to two representatives of each of the research areas (humanities and social sciences, biology and medicine, and mathematics, natural and engineering sciences) as well as members of the Specialised Committee Careers. The evaluation of the submitted proposals is based on the principle of competition. Discipline-specific panels will evaluate the proposals using a two-step procedure. The applications are assessed and rated based on expert reviews and interviews and ranked comparatively to the other applications.

7.1 Evaluation panels and external reviewers

The SNSF Steering Committee will set up the panels based on the one-page summaries, the disciplines and the keywords provided by the applicants. The panel chairpersons decide jointly with the SNSF Administrative Offices to which panel an individual proposal will be assigned. Applicants may list up to three persons who should not act as external reviewers in evaluating their proposal. Such requests must be well justified.

7.2 Evaluation procedure

The evaluation procedure follows the principles that guide the SNSF's evaluation practices:

- Individual voting: Every panel member casts a vote (independent, using the entire scale).
- Random selection: Funding decisions on proposals of similar scientific quality around the funding line may be reached by drawing lots.
- Triage¹⁵: May be applied if a discussion of every proposal is not possible due to time constraints (high number of proposals).

¹⁴ <https://www.snf.ch/en/WJbZZ2p1vS1ZNnwl/page/theSNSF/evaluation-procedures/conflicts-interests-bias-and-withdrawal>

¹⁵ For applications that have been placed by the reviewers in a priority level sufficiently distant from the funding line based on the written recommendations, a detailed discussion may be waived if no one on the panel requests it.

- Separation of evaluation and funding decision: There will be a clear separation between scientific evaluation and funding decision.

A two-step approach is applied as follows:

7.2.1 Step 1

At least three panel members acting as referees independently evaluate and rate the research project's general scientific merit (based on the extended synopsis) and the applicant's CV and track record. All members of the panel then discuss the proposal. Following the discussion, every panel member submits a score on a rating scale. A ranking list is compiled based on these individual ratings of panel members. Based on the panel's ranking list and the available budget, the SNSF Steering Committee determines the number of proposals that advance to the next selection step (step 2). The proposals that do not advance to step 2 are rejected.

7.2.2 Step 2

Proposals advancing to step 2 will be assessed in detail by selected panel members and external reviewers (based on the full proposal). The reviewers are scientists and scholars who do not participate in the panel meetings and who deliver their structured assessments before the step 2 panel meeting. Based on the written assessments of the external reviewers and panel members, the interviews with the applicant, and the discussions at the panel meeting, the evaluation panel generates a ranking list of the step 2 proposals.

The panels will complete their evaluation with a report on every proposal. The SNSF will not reveal the identity of the reviewers to the applicants. The panel submits the final ranking of the step 2 proposals to the SNSF Steering Committee, which formulates a funding proposal for approval by the SNSF Presiding Board based on the ranking list and the available budget.

7.3 Evaluation criteria

The following criteria will be considered during the evaluation procedure. They will focus on the scientific excellence and be applied during both steps based on the documents available (step 1: extended synopsis, CV, track record; step 2: all documents):

7.3.1 Scientific quality of the research project

Ground-breaking nature and potential impact of the research project:

- The extent to which the proposed research addresses important unsolved scientific questions and technical challenges.
- The extent to which the objectives are ambitious, moving the field beyond the current state of the art (e.g. novel concepts and approaches or development between or across disciplines).
- The extent to which the proposed research is high-risk/high-gain.

Scientific Approach

- The extent to which the outlined scientific approach is feasible, taking into account the high-risk/high-gain nature of the project.
- The extent to which the proposed research methodology is appropriate to achieving the goals of the project.

- The extent to which the proposal involves the development of novel methodology.
- The extent to which the proposed timescales, resources and PI commitment are adequate and properly justified (only applied in Step 2).

7.3.2 *Qualification of the applicant*

Applicant – intellectual capacity and creativity

- The extent to which the applicant has demonstrated the ability to conduct ground-breaking research.
- The extent to which the applicant has proved evidence of creative, independent thinking.
- The extent to which the applicant has the required scientific expertise and capacity to execute projects successfully.

7.4 **Outcome and communication of decisions**

After the first step, all applicants will be informed about the outcome, i.e. the rating of their proposal.

Applicants not progressing to step 2 will receive a ruling together with an evaluation report. The evaluation report includes the score and the position in the overall ranking of their proposal, the panel comment explaining the panel decision, and the individual comments made by each reviewer.

Applicants who advanced to step 2 will receive the same items after completion of the process.

Proposals will be funded in order of priority based on their rank and the available funding. The funding of the projects **is expressly subject** to the financing decisions of the Parliament.

The ruling may be appealed against before the Federal Administrative Court.

8 **Annex**

8.1 **Eligible and non-eligible costs**

8.1.1 *Direct eligible costs*

These costs support the research, management, training and dissemination activities necessary for the realization of the project:

- **Personnel costs** cover the salary and social security contributions for the PI and salaries and social security contributions for scientific and technical staff (for PhD students' salaries, please refer to SNSF rates; for other collaborators, please refer to the salary rates of the host institution);
- **Material costs** that are directly related to the realization of the project, namely material of enduring value, expendable items, field expenses, travel costs or third-party charges; material, IPR costs;
- Costs for project-related **use of infrastructures** at institutions or laboratories;
- Costs for **sub-contracting**;

Indirect costs are not included in the proposal form. The SNSF pays the host institution an overhead of the total direct eligible costs.

8.1.2 Non-eligible costs

These costs cannot be reimbursed through the grant, in particular:

- Costs related to return on capital
- Debt and debt service charges
- Provisions for possible future losses of debts
- Interest owed
- Doubtful debts
- Currency exchange losses
- Excessive or reckless expenditure
- Costs reimbursed under an EU grant
- Publication costs
- Deductible VAT

8.2 Summary of deadlines

Opening of the call	1 March 2022
Pre-registration deadline	2 May 2022
Submission deadline	1 June 2022
Step 1 results	October 2022
Interviews	December 2022
Communication of results	January 2023
Earliest project start	February 2023