BRIDGE Discovery

Project outline

Please outline the proposed project very briefly.

Reviews

All reviews received so far are listed here.

Via the "i" icon, you can access each review. Click on an entry to make your assessment.

Please note if reviewer has more scientific or Innovation experience.
Applicant(s)

Applicants' scientific and innovation-based competence:

- Please rate the applicants’ level of scientific and innovation-based competence as well as the relevant skills needed to successfully complete the project (e.g. managerial and entrepreneurial skills as well as in-depth understanding of the topic).
- If the project is proposed by more than one applicant: do the applicants complement each other in their competences? Does a collaboration deliver a clear added value (e.g. are interactions between basic and applied research facilitated, is the organization of the consortium clearly defined)?
Project

Scientific content

- Are the project’s scientific objectives of high quality and realistic? Do they address relevant needs (e.g. societal, technological, environmental, economic)? Do they provide a clear added value with respect to the current state of the art?
- Are the proposed methods suitable, clearly defined and relevant to the objectives?


Originality and innovative potential

- Is the project original and topical?
- Does the project present a credible vision of the potential impact and benefit of the innovation?
- How do you rate the market potential or the value creation of the project? Is it competitive?
Implementation strategy

- Does the project contain a convincing strategy outlining the envisaged steps towards implementation?
- Are necessary stakeholders involved?
- Is the project feasible and goal-oriented according to its work plan, budget and defined milestones?
| Comments *  
| (8000 characters (max.)) |
| Specific strengths *  
| (4000 characters (max.)) |
| Specific weaknesses *  
| (4000 characters (max.)) |

- **9**: Strong in all relevant aspects. No or negligible weaknesses.
- **8**: Strong in most relevant aspects. Few clearly identified weaknesses.
- **7**: Strong in several relevant aspects. Some clearly identified weaknesses.
- **6**: Some strengths in relevant aspects. Several clearly identified weaknesses.
- **5**: Few or no strengths in relevant aspects. Many serious weaknesses.
Overall assessment

Please provide a rating on the following scale for your overall assessment of the proposal, considering the strengths and weaknesses in the criteria-based assessment. Use 5 (Strong in several relevant aspects. Some clearly identified weaknesses.) as a starting point and develop arguments to justify grading the application as 5, higher, or lower respectively.

Interview

Form to select «invite» or «reject»
Funding conditions

Funding

Are the requested budget and duration of the project realistic? If applicable (applicants from Universities of Applied Sciences and the CSEM): are the requested salary complements justified?

Conditions can be set in case the application reveals minor problems that do not take a major effort to resolve (e.g. special personnel condition, access to infrastructure). Major budget problems should result in a lower rating.

Duration
Possibility to recommend adjustment

Conditions
Possibility to recommend conditions